Background
Finding ways to share responsibility for community bushfire safety is an important and complex dilemma facing policy makers. Generally speaking, most types of risk - and therefore the responsibility to deal with the risk - are shared amongst a range of different parties. Often, the parties that create risk and influence how it is distributed within society bear little formal responsibility to manage or reduce it.

How responsibility to manage risk should be shared is therefore a normative issue that involves judgements about the appropriate roles and obligations of the different parties involved. In community bushfire safety, these parties include communities, households and individuals, fire agencies, different levels and sectors of government (including sectors outside emergency management), the insurance industry and other private sectors, non-government organizations as well as society more broadly – both local and global. Importantly, different parties frame responsibility-sharing issues in different ways. This leads to different assumptions about the best way to deal with these issues, creating conflict and ambiguity.

Project Goal
This research project aims to support the fire and emergency management sector to make decisions about sharing responsibility for community safety. It will identify alternative ways of framing responsibility sharing issues, and evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of alternative approaches.

Anticipated Outcomes
- Research papers published in international, peer-reviewed journals
- Staged industry reports and an integrated report for the ‘Mainstreaming’ research group
- Learning & evaluation workshops with fire and emergency management policy makers
- Discussion papers on topics related to linking research and policy
- Presentations at industry and research conferences in Australia and overseas
- Contribution to Bushfire CRC’s research milestones set by the Australian Government
Overview of Research Process
The project, which began in August 2010, will take place in five stages over three years.

1. Concept review
   Why? Identify alternative ways of framing responsibility sharing issues to develop a conceptual framework to guide the policy review
   How? Integrative review of relevant, international research literature
   When? By June 2011

2. Engagement with industry/end users
   Why? Direct project towards industry learning needs
   How? Workshops and presentations
   When? By June 2011

3. Policy review
   Why? Review ideas, experiences & outcomes outside the Australian emergency management sector to identify possible learning opportunities
   How? Comparative review
   When? By Sept 2011

4. Australian case studies
   Why? Examine responsibility sharing issues in Australian emergency management
   How? Prepare two analytical case studies using existing data
   When? By June 2012

5. Synthesis
   Why? Synthesize learning from Stage 1-4 to evaluate policy alternatives for the Australian context
   How? Workshops with policy makers & research program partners
   When? By Sept 2012 (policy makers) & March 2013 (program partners)
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