

COUPLE'S DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES INVOLVED IN THE FORMULATION OF A LONG TERM HOUSEHOLD BUSHFIRE PLAN

Mary Cadeddu

Bushfire CRC PhD Scholarship Holder, PhD Candidate, School of Psychological Science, La Trobe University, Victoria Supervisors: : Dr Lynette Evans¹, Prof Jim McLennan¹, Dr Meagan Tyler²

AIM

To identify significant couple/marital judgment and decisionmaking processes which influence survival-related decision making in forming a bushfire plan. These processes involve longterm planning and preparation decisions, rather than decisions made under imminent bushfire threat

METHODOLOGY

The research utilises both qualitative and quantitative methods and and the project comprises three related studies:

STUDY 1

(Completed)*

Analysis of reported couples' bushfire safety decision-making by couples who were threatened by bushfires

AIM: to identify issues and processes involved in couples bushfire planning and preparation emerged with householders affected by the Lake Clifton fire (Perth, WA) on Monday 10 January 2011.

FINDINGS:

- Moderate level of concern Ж
- Only few couples planned; many Ħ made some ad hoc preparations
- Rather than "WAIT AND SEE" some Ж "WENT TO SEE" what the fire was like
- Ж Long term preparation focused

STUDY 2

(In progress)

Surveys of members of couples in at-risk communities and analysis of associations among variables

Currently 101 completed surveys returned, n56 from men, n45 from women.

AIM: to understand what forms of cognitive and relational processes are involved in couples' bushfire safety decisions.

Individual decision-making styles, adult attachment styles, gender role preferences, and the quality of the relationship are measured to better understand:

- (a) which combinations of these variables are more likely to be associated with more comprehensive bushfire planning and preparation;
- (b) how the quality of relationships may affect

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

What psychological rocesses are involved in decision making by ouples about long-term planning and preparation to survive bushfire threat?

¹ La Trobe University, ² Victoria University

What are the key relational dynamics which sustain couple's long-term planning and preparation decisions about bushfire safety?

What kinds of relational, cognitive, and affective processes are likely to compromise survivalelated decision making processes in formulating a family bushfire plan?

bushfire planning and preparation

What kind of

decision-making processes are involved in

ouples' long-term

STUDY 3

(In preparation)

Couple study – Observation of joint decision-making tasks in ≅ 30 couples

AIM: to understand how couples arrive at their choices regarding planning for emergency situations, and which relational processes are likely to influence these decisions.

The perceived use of spousal influence, the perceived reality of the task for the couples, and their individual commitment level to the

- narrowly on protection of the house
- Household bushfire preparation Ж mainly managed by husbands
- If threatened by a bushfire in the Ħ future, most of the couples would act in the same way as they had on the day of the fire

*Cadeddu, M., McLennan, J., Evans, L. (2012). Couples' bushfire survival planning: A case study: The 2011 Lake Clifton (WA) Fire. Conference Proceeding (2012 Bushfire CRC and AFAC Annual Conference).

bushfire planning and preparation



government in delivering community bushfire programs to help families develop bushfire plans relationship, will be studied

instead of planning on the way they want people to behave.





Mary Cadeddu dcadeddu@students.latrobe.edu.au

